

EXHIBIT A

Jonke, Kevin M.

From: John Hughes <JHughes@milberg.com>
Sent: Friday, November 17, 2023 1:19 PM
To: Nemelka, Michael N.; Jonke, Kevin M.
Cc: Katz, Katherine R.; Long, Emily Merki; Provance, Matthew D.; Stride, Megan E.; *BMiller@mayerbrown.com; Peggy Wedgworth; Dorris, Daniel V.; DMSTeam
Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] RE: In re DMS: Proposed page extension re Dealership Plaintiffs' Class Certification Briefing

This message is from an EXTERNAL SENDER

Be cautious, particularly with links and attachments.

Kevin,
Dealership Plaintiffs agree with Vender Plaintiffs that neither an omnibus response brief from CDK nor CDK's requested time extension are warranted under these circumstances.

Dealership Plaintiffs therefore intend to seek relief from the Court regarding the extension of pages.

Best,
John

John D. Hughes

Attorney at Law*
Senior Counsel

Milberg.
COLEMAN BRYSON PHILLIPS GROSSMAN

Main: 212.594.5300
Cell: 248.990.2588
www.milberg.com



*Licensed in Michigan

Confidentiality Notice: This message contains information which may be confidential and privileged. Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive for the addressee), you may not use, copy or disclose to anyone the message or any information contained in the message. If you have received the message in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message.

From: Nemelka, Michael N. <mnemelka@kellogghansen.com>
Sent: Friday, November 17, 2023 1:56 PM
To: jonke, kevin <kevin.jonke@kirkland.com>
Cc: John Hughes <JHughes@milberg.com>; katz, katherine <katherine.katz@kirkland.com>; Long, Emily <emily.long@kirkland.com>; Provance, Matthew D. <MProvance@mayerbrown.com>; Stride, Megan E. <MStride@mayerbrown.com>; BMiller@mayerbrown.com <BMiller@mayerbrown.com>; Peggy Wedgworth

<pwedgworth@milberg.com>; Dorris, Daniel V. <ddorris@kellogghansen.com>; DMSTeam <dmsteam@milberg.com>

Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] RE: In re DMS: Proposed page extension re Dealership Plaintiffs' Class Certification Briefing

Kevin:

The Vendor Class and Dealer Class will be filing separate motions. The Vendor Class does not agree that CDK may file a consolidated brief. We also do not consent to the requested extension, which is not consistent with the schedule the parties previously negotiated.

Thanks,
Mike

On Nov 17, 2023, at 7:58 AM, Jonke, Kevin M. <kevin.jonke@kirkland.com> wrote:

John,

Based on the parties' stipulation that CDK may submit "Opposition Class Certification Brief(s)," and for the sake of efficiency, CDK reserves the right to file an omnibus brief opposing certification of both classes. Thus, while we do not object in principle to the Dealers' request to enlarge the page limits, your proposal has implications for both plaintiff groups. We can agree to your proposal if Dealers and Vendors agree to the following modifications:

- CDK may submit an omnibus response brief equal in length to the combined total pages of both opening briefs. We have no objection if Vendors, like Dealers, would like 25 pages instead of 15, but that would mean CDK should get 50 pages in opposition.
- The deadline for CDK's opposition brief is extended by two weeks (to January 19), given that you proposed, three court days before the current deadline, that we respond to substantially longer briefing over the holidays.

Best,
Kevin

Kevin Jonke

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
300 North LaSalle, Chicago, IL 60654
T +1 312 862 4390 **M** +1 312 361 7859
F +1 312 862 2200

kevin.jonke@kirkland.com

From: John Hughes <JHughes@milberg.com>

Sent: Thursday, November 16, 2023 12:38 PM

To: Jonke, Kevin M. <kevin.jonke@kirkland.com>

Cc: Katz, Katherine R. <katherine.katz@kirkland.com>; Long, Emily Merki <emily.long@kirkland.com>; Provance, Matthew D. <MProvance@mayerbrown.com>; Stride, Megan E. <MStride@mayerbrown.com>;

*BMiller@mayerbrown.com <BMiller@mayerbrown.com>; Peggy Wedgworth <PWedgworth@milberg.com>; Nemelka, Michael N. <mnemelka@kellogghansen.com>; Dorris, Daniel V. <ddorris@kellogghansen.com>; DMSTeam <DMSTeam@milberg.com>

Subject: In re DMS: Proposed page extension re Dealership Plaintiffs' Class Certification Briefing

Kevin,

As you know, pursuant to Local Rule 7.1, the parties' briefs for and in opposition to class certification are limited to 15 pages. Dealership Plaintiffs propose seeking leave from the Court to extend the page limits for the briefing in their case as follows:

- 25 pages for Dealership Plaintiffs' opening brief
- 25 pages for CDK's response brief
- 15 pages for Dealership Plaintiffs reply brief

Please let us know by tomorrow at the latest whether CDK is in agreement so that we can notify the Court.

Best,
John

John D. Hughes

Attorney at Law*
Senior Counsel

<image001.png>

Main: 212.594.5300
Cell: 248.990.2588
www.milberg.com

<image002.png>

<image003.png>

<image004.png>

<image005.png>

*Licensed in Michigan

Confidentiality Notice: This message contains information which may be confidential and privileged. Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive for the addressee), you may not use, copy or disclose to anyone the message or any information contained in the message. If you have received the message in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message.

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Kirkland & Ellis LLP or Kirkland & Ellis International LLP. Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return email or by email to postmaster@kirkland.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments.